

1. Matter for discussion - Member engagement and participation

2021 AGM Matter For Discussion is resubmitted, as no meaningful initiatives have resulted.

Members may submit motions or "matters to be discussed" once a year at the AGM and may also submit a motion to call a SGM at other times. Outside of these options and in light of the Governance changes adopted at the October 2020 SGM, what are the mechanisms to allow member issues / concerns / proposals to be acknowledged and actioned by the Club, recognising that such engagement is crucial in a Member-owned Club and that SGMs may create an unwelcome adversarial climate?

2. Matter for discussion - Committee Transparency

This matter For Discussion acknowledges and welcomes the response to the concerns of slow publication and various Principal Committees never updating the membership, raised at the last AGM, with the introduction of Views From The Committee Room (VFTR). However, VFTR has not moved transparency forward nor enhanced Proceedings which have ceased to exist, both historically and currently. The membership does not know how our club is led, managed, or performing against targets since we are only informed of what the Committee would like to share with us, with backward looking updates of the prior year reported at the AGM. Is it not time to reset the secrecy and confidentiality under which our Club operates, to a model of full disclosure with confidentiality reserved to what is properly confidential? And if not, why not.

3. Matter for discussion - New Ticketing Platform

There have been numerous member concerns regarding the Club's new ticketing platform - site failure when members had to book seats, no ability to coordinate with other members in booking seats, the Club's reported 6% failure rate in members entering the ballot (clearly member error contributes, but this needs to be mitigated), payments resubmission in the current ballot and clarity of success or otherwise in the ballot. The questions that arise are; is ticketing fit for purpose, does it lack industry standard user testing or is it just teething troubles begging our patience etc. Worse still, our Committee seems unaware of member's concerns and experience. What can we expect in 2023?

4. Matter for discussion - Playing Members playing at Lord's

Playing on the main ground is a considerable privilege, but one rarely afforded to our own playing members despite certain schools and Universities having that opportunity notwithstanding they do not rank as the best teams amongst their peer group. How may these injustices be addressed?

5. Matter for discussion - Male and Female mixed MCC Teams

To increase opportunities for women playing candidates and members, what scope is there for mixed teams to be fielded?

6. Matter for discussion - Female Membership

Our Club has single figure %'s of Female Members, Associate Members and Candidates. Members have made suggestions on how improve the mix, without obvious action. One candidate applications only per year contribute to this, with a stronger male v female cultural and demand pipeline. How might the Club address these challenges.

7. Matters for discussion - MCC Clubability

Our member subscription has been positioned against London Club memberships. Given this, How might we encourage greater use of the Club's facilities, including the Pavilion, by members on non-match days with a view to enhancing the "club ability" of MCC and member value? only per year contribute to this, with a stronger male v female cultural and demand pipeline. How might the Club address these challenges.

8. Matters for discussion - Marketing Middlesex

Middlesex is acknowledged as our home side. We pay Middlesex for matches hosted at Lord's, taking ticket revenue and enjoying bar revenues. T20 needs no marketing, but why do we not promote One Day and County matches? Given the revenue opportunities that T20 games provide what can be done to ensure that all are played at Lord's and not out grounds?